

NAT-CAT RISK MANAGEMENT

Thomas Mahl, RID 1.3





The last 30 years have seen a significant increase in losses caused by natural disasters



Weather-related natural disasters in particular are on the rise:

Munich RE

The average over the last 10 years (708) is considerably higher than the average for the last 30 years (550) \rightarrow +29%



Past drivers of trends will continue to determine the further course of events:

- Population growth
- Concentration of population, location as well as values in conurbations ("urbanization")
- Settlement and industrialization of heavily exposed regions
- Climate change

Source: Munich Re GEO & Economic Research

Drivers

its

and

Trend

SSO

Global

Natural catastrophes in Asia 1980 – 2011 Overall and insured losses





Natural catastrophes worldwide 1980 – 2011 Overall losses and insured losses





Continent	Overall losses US\$ m (in 2011 values)	Insured losses US\$ m (in 2011 values)
America (North and South America)	1,407,000	566,000
Europe	495,000	146,000
Africa	44,000	2,000
Asia	1,450,000	115,000
Australia/Oceania	104,000	41,000

© 2012 Münchener Rückversicherungs-Gesellschaft, Geo Risks Research, NatCatSERVICE – As at January 2012

Thomas Mahl RID 1.3 13/02/2013

Natural Catastrophes – consequences Long-term impact on GDP

Munich RE 💻



Natural Catastrophes instantly destroy human and physical capital leading to immediate loss in annual production. Mid- and long-term impacts can however be very diverse.

Source: "Natural Disasters and Economic Development Impact, Response and Preparedness"; by Ajay Chhibber and Rachid Laajaj

Only a few countries can afford to be "risk-neutral" regarding natural disaster risk

Development of Cumulative Net Capital Formation (in millions of constant 2000 US\$)



Larger economies are often less effected, but still with noticeable impact

6

Munich RE 퉂

An intuitive approach suggests a certain differentiation of countries



Industrialised countries	Developing countries
Tend to suffer higher economic losses in strict dollar terms	Natural hazards cause setbacks to economic and social development
High insurance penetration for property	Lower insurance penetration and higher vulnerability of property
Immediate emergency and medical care available	Limited availability of medical care infrastructure
Have mechanisms to avoid loss of life (early warning systems)	Lack of resources for early warning systems
Retain risks	Transfer risks

Losses and financing coping capacities determine the entry point for risk financing options





Thomas Mahl RID 1.3



Allocation Function

Distribution Function



Enterprises and the public sector faces the highest complexity of risk



Munich RE 葦

An expansion of the insurance market's target group scope reduces the contingent liability of governments





Mind the Gap!





Risk management and the dynamic change of environment







Ex post disaster risk financing relies on international donors Munich RE == and the governments' credibility



Sustainable ex ante risk financing solutions requires an intelligent mix of private and public sector involvement





Financial management of the national budget against natural Munich RE 🗮 disaster



Source: World Bank Disaster Risk Financing and Insurance Program, 2010

As partner for governments our value proposition is based on three pillars



Value optimiser/Complex risk



- In-depth modelling expertise
- Opinion leader in Nat Cat & Risk
- Standardized internal risk management process for identification and evaluation of emerging risks

Growth partner/ Know-how provider



- Prime partner as disaster risk advisor for supranational organisation
- Longstanding PPP experience with governmental agencies
- Expert for risk and insurance related regulatory frameworks

High level of security



- Prime capacity provider for risk transfer solutions
- Pioneer in ex-ante disaster risk financing schemas
- Development of innovative reliable disaster risk assessment +evaluation tools

Clear positioning as a comprehensive service reinsurer

Drawing a line between public and private liabilities



	National Pool/Private sector Solutions	Government Cover
Policyholder	Private households or companies	Public Agencies or Institutions
Funding / Government role	(mostly) financed by private policyholders	 Part of the federal budget Government decides about allocation of resources in cases of nat. disasters
Insured assets	Private property	Public property and bridging of liquidity gaps in federal budgets
Examples	 Turkish Catastrophe Insurance Pool TREIF Maipark 	CCRIF
Conorolly	age and any out on a indomnity basis	This would not be enpropriate in

Generally pools pay out on a indemnity basis. This would not be appropriate in case of government covers. A fast payout, which is not subject to discussions is crucial to the purpose. Hence, parametric triggers are an ideal solution.

Overview of existing catastrophe risk financing



schemas



- New Zealand Earthquake Commission
 - Caribbean Catastrophic Risk Insurance Facility (CCRIF)
 - Internationaler Rückversicherungsverband Fund (IRV)
 - Indonesia Earthquake Company (Maipark)
- Norsk Naturskade pool (NNPP)
- Elementarschaden Pool (ES-Pool)
- Programulul Roman de Asigurare la Catastrofe (PRAC)
- Taiwan Residential Earthquake Insurance Fund (TREIF)
- Turkish Catastrophe Insurance Pool (TCIP)
- Icelandic Catastrophe Fund
- The California Earthquake Authority (CEA)
- Icelandic Catastrophe Fund
 - Fonden (Mexico)

Our team



Public Sector Affairs

Dr. Carsten Prussog

Global Head Public Sector Affairs

Tel.: +49 (0) 89 3891 – 4838 cprussog@munichre.com





Jörg Bruniecki

Managing Director

Public Sector Affairs

Tel.: +49 (0) 89 3891 – 9263 jbruniecki@munichre.com



Stefan Schüssele

Vice President

Public Sector Affairs

Tel.: +49 (0) 89 3891 – 8404 Sschüssele@munichre.com





Back up

National Pool Solutions

Turkish Catastrophe Insurance Pool (TCIP)





Turkey and the Earthquake exposure



Expected annual economic losses due to earthquakes around \$1 billion

 Marmara and Duzce earthquakes (Aug.1999)
 death toll >18,000;
 damage >\$10 billion

➢During the last few years over 100 earthquakes ranging from 4.0 to 6.2 on Richter Scale.

70% of the population lives in 1st and 2nd earthquake zones

Turkish Catastrophe Insurance Pool (TCIP)



Background

- Low insurance penetration
- High exposure to a variety of adverse natural events- particularly earthquake
- Insufficient Nat-cat capacity in the domestic market
- Dependence on assistance from international donors to finance postdisaster needs

- Protection of the federal budget
- Provide homeowners with reconstruction financing after major catastrophic events
- Cover as much as possible of the economic losses
- Encourage physical risk mitigation
- Diversification of risk
- Highest standards of governance and operations and lowest possible operational costs for Pool
- Minimize cost by relying on existing distribution and service capabilities of private primary insurance

Objectives

Schematic Structure





*) Use of SMS (short message service) within the GSM (global system for mobile) for policy renewals, claim advice, etc



Premium is determined by :

- •Earthquake Cresta Zones
- •Construction Type of the building
- •The area of the building in square meters

Sum insured			Tariff rate						
Type of	USD/m]		Type of Building	Zone 1 %	Zone 2 %	Zone 3 %	Zone 4 %	Zone 5 %
Building	2			A) Steel of	2.20	1.55	0.83	0.55	0.44
A) Steel of Reinforced	330		Reinforced Carcass	, -	,			-,	
Carcass		\times m ²	~ 2	B) Amassed	3.85	2.75	1.43	0.60	0.5
B) Amassed Stone & Brick	237	× [[]		Stone & Brick					
C) Others	123			C) Others	5.5	3.53	1.76	0.78	0.56
	γ		· ```						

84000 USD limit per policy

20% discount in case of renewal and where block policies are sold in respect of flats 26



Annual Number of Policies and Penetration Rate



- Stunted penetration despite compulsory EQ insurance decree law
- Poor enforcement and lack of hazards information
- Only legally built with proper permits buildings are insured
- Widespread squatter communities (gecekondu)
- Need for improved building practices

Turkish Catastrophe Insurance Pool (TCIP)







A SAMPLE COMPULSORY EARTHQUAKE INSURANCE POLICY Insurance company Agency name Phone T.GARANTI BANKASI TAKSIM SB Policy number : 0 212 3041000 Renewal number Fax : 21515206 Endorsment number : 00 : 0 212 3993939 Arrangement date Name /Surname Attachment date : 09/07/2009 Tax ID number Expiry date : ABC LTD.STI : 09/07/2010 Local phone : 9238302938 : 09/07/2009 E-mail : 0 216 4727294 E-mail : abc@hotmail.com Communication address: BULGURLU MAH. HÜSNÜ SAVMAN SOK. No: 12 D.No: 2 Ficurity a Differ A Menie Tax administration: MECIDIYEKOY : 0 532 2343423 Local phone : ABC LTD.STI Rate of deduction: -E-mail : 0 216 4727294 : abc@hotmail.com Title Information of holder of a pledge Mobile phone : Owner : 0 532 2343423 Information of voluntary policy T.GARANTI BANKASI Information relating to insured place: Agency T. GARANTI BANKASI TAKSIM SUBESI City Town / District : ISTANBUL/USKUDAR/USKUDAR Policy No BULGURLU MAH, HUSNU SAVMAN SOK, No: 12 D.No 2 : 2093412 Map : T324.49 Type of Construction Construction year Parcel : 4 STEEL REINFORCED CONCRETE FRAMEWORK Total number of floor Page No: 33 Type of use Damaged condition Gross Square Meter DWELLING UNIT FREE OF DAMAGE Sum insured Document date number: General Conditions and Clauses 55.000.00 TL General Conditions and Clauses (1) Pursuant to the general conditions, deduction of exemption at a rate of 2% of the sum insured is applied for each damage. For such exemption providure, damages positions within a period of 22 hours, following the applied for each *(*) Pursuant to the general conditions, deduction of exemption at a rate of 2% of the sum insured is applied for each damage. For such exemption procedure, damages occurring within a period of 72 hours following the earthquake are deamast to be a winde earthquake. overment to be a single semicingunane. (**) To insure buildings constructed later than 27.12.1999, such buildings should have construction permits in showdance was the outloant levuelation anoncomence was any processing ingression. (**) To insure buildings identified as "intermediately damaged", the fulfilment of the necessary repair and amendment under sources an existences

Taiwan Residential Earthquake Insurance Fund



Taiwan and the Earthquake exposure





- > Taiwan is highly exposed to earthquake
- September (1999) Chi Chi earthquake
 Death toll >2400;
 Economic damage >\$12 billion
 Insured loss> \$600mio

>End of 1999: Insurance law manifested the establishment for a mechanism for assuming earthquake risks

➢ July 2001: The Taiwan Residential Earthquake Pool came into existence



Taiwan Residential Earthquake Pool

Background

- Low insurance penetration in Nat-Cat 1,13%
- High exposure to a variety of adverse natural events- particularly earthquake
- Insufficient Nat-cat capacity in the domestic market
- Dependence on assistance from government

- Protection of the federal budget
- Provide homeowners with reconstruction financing after major catastrophic events
- Diversification of risk
- Highest standards of governance and operations and lowest possible operational costs for Pool
- Maximization of the local retention
- Minimize cost by relying on existing distribution and service capabilities of private primary insurance

Objectives

Pivotal Role of TREIF







Pivotal Role of TREIF

- Assume the risk of residential earthquake insurance from local insurance companies
- Manage the risk spreading mechanism
- Administer residential earthquake insurance underwriting, claims settlement, reinsurance placement, co-insurance and auditing
- Education and training



Original Terms and Conditions

Subject matter:	Household building
Perils insured:	Earthquake shock
	Landslide, subsidence, earth movement, rupture caused by earthquake Tsunami –tidal waves or flood caused by earthquake
Premium:	NT\$ 1,350 (US\$ 46)
Sum Insured:	NT\$1,200,000 (US\$ 41,000)
Contingent Living Expenses	NT\$180,000 (US\$ 6,100)
Loss Trigger:	The loss will be paid when the insured residential building is suffered an earthquake event and its damage reached actual total loss or constructive total loss)*

)*The criteria for determining a Constructive Total Loss is described as: the repair cost of the damaged part of the residential building is more than 50% of the replacement cost f the building





Take up rate and written premium



- Take up rate at 28% only
- Mortgage borrowers buy Fire insurance only
- Solution based on uniform premium doesn't motivate risk mitigating activities



Thank you!

